REMARKS

Claims 7-10 are currently pending and stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious from Castellano in view of Kao. Claims 1-6 were previously cancelled without prejudice.

Claim 7 recites, among other limitations, "a first Gray code to binary converter for generating the particular address indicated by the read pointer; a second Gray code to binary converter for generating the another particular address indicated by the write pointer".

Examiner has indicated that "Sequential addresses are most suitable when sequential data is to be stored in consecutive addresses in the FIFO, because the addressing schemes used by computer systems are mostly linear, continuous address assignment. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicants' invention to use a first Gray code to binary converter for generating the particular address indicated by the read pointer and a second Gray code to binary converter for generating the particular address indicated by the write pointer, as demonstrated by Kao, and to incorporate it into the existing apparatus disclosed by Castellano, to support a linear, continuous address scheme employed by most computer systems."

Castellano, Col. 3, Lines 35-45 teaches:

If the counters 18 and 20 were to be implemented as conventional sequential counters, multiple address bit positions could change with each successive increment. When such a counter is sampled with an asynchronous clock (that is, read counter sampled with write clock or write counter sampled with read clock), bogus values can be obtained.

Accordingly, in a preferred embodiment of the invention, the write counter 18 and read counter 20 are implemented as Gray code counters. In a Gray code counter, no more than one address bit position changes for each increment.

Emphasis Added.

Examiner argues that the emphasized statement, "applies when only a 'conventional sequential counters,' or a 'binary counter', is used directly to generate addresses." Assignee respectfully traverses and notes that "multiple address bit positions could change with each successive increment", not only when "a 'conventional sequential counters', or a 'binary counter', is used directly to generate addresses", but also in Examiner's proposed modification. Thus, "When such a counter is sampled", i.e., where "multiple address bit positions could change with each successive increment", "bogus values can be obtained".

Examiner notes that "Since, the problem of multiple bits changing values is resolved by using the Grey counter as the first stage, the bogus values are eliminated". However, if Castellano was modified as suggested by Examiner, once again, "multiple address bit positions could change with each successive increment". Thus, the possibility of bogus values would return. Thus, Assignee respectfully submits that Castellano teaches away from Kao.

Accordingly, for at least the foregoing reasons, Assignee respectfully traverses the rejection to claim 7 and dependent claims 8-10.

CONCLUSION

Assignee respectfully submits that each of the pending claims are allowable, making the application in a condition for allowance. Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this case to issuance.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in the amount enclosed or any additional fees which may be required under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposit Account No. 13-0017 in the name of McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Mirut Dalal

Attorney for Applicant

Reg. No. 44,052

Date: May 14, 2008

McANDREWS, HELD & MALLOY, LTD. 500 W. Madison – 34th Floor Chicago, IL 60661

Phone: (312) 775-8000 FAX: (312) 775-8100